Report No. ED12052

London Borough of Bromley

PART 1 - PUBLIC

Decision Maker: Schools Forum

Date: 18 October 2012

TITLE: 2013/14 FUNDING REVIEW – OUTCOME OF CONSULTATION

WITH SCHOOLS

Contact Officer: Mandy Russell, Head of Schools' Finance Team

Tel: 020 8313 4806 E-mail: amanda.russell@bromley.gov.uk

Chief Officer: Assistant Director (Education)

Ward: Boroughwide

1. Reason for report

1.1 This report provides details of the outcome of consultation with schools and recommends the final version of the funding formula to be submitted to the DfE.

2. RECOMMENDATION(S)

- 2.1 The Schools Forum is asked to consider the outcome of consultation and the Assistant Directors recommendation that the principles behind version 12 should be used for the 2013/14 Funding Formula
- 2.2 The Schools Forum is also asked to make the final decisions with regard to dedegation for maintained primary schools based on the outcome of the consultation.

3. COMMENTARY

3.1 Following on from the Schools Forum Meeting, as agreed the LA released four funding models to all schools as part of the consultation on the proposed funding formula for 2013/14. The four models were based on the following principles:

Version 6
73 Points at EYFSP for Primary Attainment
Lump Sum £150,000
Allocations for EAL/Attainment/Deprivation based on current funding blocks

Allocations for EAD/Attainment/Deprivation based on current funding blocks

Version 8
73 Points at EYFSP for Primary Attainment
Lump Sum £150,000
Allocations for EAL/Attainment/Deprivation based on fixed amount for all pupils

Version 10
73 Points at EYFSP for Primary Attainment
Lump Sum £180,000
Allocations for EAL/Attainment/Deprivation based on current funding blocks

Version 12
73 Points at EYFSP for Primary Attainment
Lump Sum £180,000
Allocations for EAL/Attainment/Deprivation based on fixed amount for all pupils

- 3.2 Schools were also consulted again on de-delegation for maintained primary schools to allow Schools Forum members to make a final decision on these areas.
- 3.3 The LA received 38 consultation responses, being 33 from Primary Schools, 1 from a Special School and 4 from Secondary Schools. However, included within the Primary responses were 6 individual responses from 1 school and 3 from another school. In order to ensure that the results were considered on a fair and equitable basis, only two responses have been included from each school. Whilst there was a slightly higher number of responses from 1fe schools, there was a fair representation of responses from all sizes of schools. Within the responses, a number of schools did not answer particular questions, or ticked both boxes for some questions, or ticked a particular version that did not correlate to their earlier answers.
- 3.4 Details of the consultation responses can be seen at appendix 1. With regard to the funding formula, based on these responses, the Assistant Director for Education is recommending version 12 on the basis that a larger number of schools opted for £180,000 as the lump sum and fixed amounts for the values for deprivation, attainment and EAL. Whilst this would appear to go against the model opted for by most schools, it is clear from the consultation responses that the options selected did not necessarily agree to the model selected. The LA believes that £180,000 is an appropriate lump sum and also feels that having fixed amounts for these factors will make it easier to calculate the notional SEN for each school and Academy.
- 3.5 Using their notional SEN budget, mainstream schools and Academies will be expected to:
 - (a) meet the needs of pupils with low-cost, high-incidence SEN; and
 - (b) contribute, up to a certain level set by the local authority, towards, the costs of provision for pupils with high needs (including those with high-cost, low-incidence SEN).

- 3.6 With regard to the de-delegation, the relevant members of the Schools Forum are asked to vote on behalf of the maintained primary schools. With regard to the two areas where schools appear to not be in favour of de-delegation, the Schools Forum are reminded that as part of the initial consultation, this funding has been included in the current models and that the Ethnic minority funding has been delegated to schools 100 % through the EAL factor and that the Behaviour Service funding has been allocated 10% AWPU, 45% deprivation and 45% attainment. It is recommended that schools will be reminded of this and advised that they will not see a separate allocation as part of the funding formula.
- 3.7 For areas where de-delegation is agreed, the LA must be able to clearly demonstrate how the funding will be de-delegated eg £10 per fsm pupil for free school meal eligibility.
- 3.8 Attached at **Appendix 2** is a copy of the draft proforma to be completed and returned to the DfE by 31 October. The actual proforma provided by the LA has to be completed online and will be populated with the appropriate data once the funding model has been agreed.
- 3.9 The Schools Forum is asked to discuss the outcome of consultation and to recommend which funding model should be adopted.

Non-Applicable Sections:	Policy, Legal, Financial and Personnel Implications				
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)					

ANALYSIS OF CONSULTATION RESPONSES

Primary Special Secondary		28 responses 1 response 4 responses						
Fund	ing Formula							
Q1		u support the Schools Forum proposal to use 73 points at EYSFF as an tor for attainment for Primary Schools?						
	Yes No		27 2					
Q2	Which lump	sum level do you think	is most appropriate?					
	£150, £180,		11 21					
Q3	What values	should be used for att	ainment, deprivation and EAL?					
	Same fixed level for Primary and Secondary Schools 16 Different levels based on pots of funding 14							
Q4	Which overa	Which overall funding model do you support?						
	Versio Versio Versio Versio	on 8 on 10	7 6 10 8					
De- d	lelegation							
1.	Contingenci	es es						
	Yes No		17 3					
2.	Free School	Meal Eligibilty						
	Yes No		20 2					
3.	Staff Costs-	Supply Cover						
	Yes No		21 1					
4.	Support for I	Ethnic Minority Pupils						
	Yes No		6 16					
5.	Behaviour S	upport Service						

4

18

Yes

No

APPENDIX 2

LA Name	Bromley	Pupil Led F	actors		5	Sample – the final	pro forma may diffe	er	
	Description	Amount (£) per pupil		Number of Pupils		Sub Total (£	Total (£)	Proportion of funding	
1) Basic Entitlement	Reception Uplift			n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	
Age Weighted Pupil Unit (AWPU)	Primary (including reception) Key Stage 3 Key Stage 4	£2,149 £4,009 £4,009		23655 10253 6841		£50,844,923 £41,102,991 £27,424,712	£119,372,626	72%	
	Description	Primary amount per pupil (£)	Secondary amount per pupil (£)	Number of eligible primary pupils	Number of elig secondary pu		Total (£)	Proportion of funding	
2) Deprivation	Indicator: FSM6 IDACI Score 0.2 - 0.25 IDACI Score 0.25-0.3 IDACI Score 0.3 - 0.4 IDACI Score 0.4-0.5 IDACI Score 0.5-0.6 IDACI Score 0.6-0.6	£1,500 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0	£1,500 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0	4,700 1,213 820 2,418 2,386 1,448 32	3,576 786 683 1,625 1,843 946 37	£12,414,33° £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0		8%	
3) Looked After Children (LAC)	Description	Amount (£) per pupil		Number of Pupils			Total (£)	Proportion of funding	
.,	Indicator: LAC_X_Mar11	£0		132			£0	0.00%	
	Description	Amount (£) per pupil	Number of Pupils		Sub Total (£	E) Total (£)	Proportion of funding	
4) Low cost, high incidence SEN	Primary pupils- Indicator: LowAtt_%_PRI_73 Secondary pupils not achieving (KS2 level 4 English and Maths)	£2,500 £2,500		4050 1469		£10,125,656 £3,672,769	£13 708 //25	8.36%	
5) English as an Additional Language (EAL)	Description	Amount (£) per pupil		Numbe	er of Pupils	Sub Total (£	Total (£)	Proportion of funding	
	Primary pupils- Indicator: EAL_3_PRI Secondary pupils- Indicator: EAL_3_SEC	£1,000 £1,000		1,537 153		£1,536,775 £152,700	£1,689,475	1.0%	
	Description	Amount (£) per pupil		Number of Pupils		Sub Total (£	Total (£)	Proportion o funding	
6) Mobility	Primary pupils starting school outside of normal entry dates Secondary pupils starting school outside of normal entry dates	£0 £0		1,737 445		£0 £0	£0	0.0%	
		Other Fac	ctors	•					
7) Lump Sum	Description	Amount (£)	Unit	Number of Schools			Total (£)	Proportion of funding	
	Lump Sum	£180,000	per school	91			£16,380,000	9.93%	
8) Split Sites	Description						Total (£)	Proportion o funding	
-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -	Split Sites						£0	0.00%	
9) Rates	Description						Total (£)	Proportion o funding	
3) Nates	Rates					£1,929,250	1.17%		
10) PFI funding	Description						Total (£)	Proportion o funding	
	PFI						£0	0.00%	
11) Sixth Form	Description						Total (£)	Proportion o funding	
	Existing Sixth Form Commitments						£0	0.00%	
12) Exceptional circumstances (can	Description					Sub Total (E) Total (£)	Proportion of funding	
only be used with prior agreement of EFA)	Excep Circs 1 Excep Circs 2 Excep Circs 3					£0 £0 £0	60	0.00%	
	Description	1,450.5		0 :::			Total (£)	Proportion of	
13) Minimum Funding Guarantee	MFG is set at -1.5%, gains may be capped above a specified ceiling	MFG Floor		Ceiling	Scale Facto	r		funding	
	and / or scaled	-2%		2%	100%		£165,0		
TOTAL FUNDING FOR SCHOOLS BLOCK FORMULA (£): RETAINED FOR GROWTH									
					PRIMA	ARY/SECONDARY RATI	0: 1:	1.44	
London fringe pay bands (only applicable to Buckinghamshire, Essex,	Description	Uplift amount (%)	Unit	Number	of schools		Total (£)	Proportion o funding	